## Case 2:21-cr-00100-JAM Document 26 Filed 05/20/22 Page 1 of 3

1 2	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ROSS PEARSON		
3	Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100		
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7	United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	LASTERIV DIST	Mer or each order	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-00100-JAM	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
13	v.	ORDER	
14	STEVEN DANIEL WOODS,	DATE: May 24, 2022	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on May 24, 2022.		
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until		
22	September 20, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between May 24, 2022, and September 20, 2022,		
23	under Local Code T4.		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case		
26	includes over one hundred pages of reports, photos, and search warrant documents. All of this		
27	discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and		
28	copying.		

## Case 2:21-cr-00100-JAM Document 26 Filed 05/20/22 Page 2 of 3

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the discovery with her client, research and investigate possible defenses, discuss any potential resolution with her client, research mitigating evidence and present it to the prosecution, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of May 24, 2022 to September 20, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: May 19, 2022

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ ROSS PEARSON
ROSS PEARSON
Assistant United States Attorney

## Case 2:21-cr-00100-JAM Document 26 Filed 05/20/22 Page 3 of 3

/s/ LINDA ALLISON LINDA ALLISON Dated: May 19, 2022 Counsel for Defendant STEVEN DANIEL WOODS (Authorized by email on May 19, 2022) FINDINGS AND ORDER IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2022. /s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE